

**ReviewForm1.7**

JournalName:	AsianJournalofEconomics,BusinessandAccounting
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJEBA_108143
TitleoftheManuscript:	INFLUENCEOFKNOWLEDGEMANAGEMENT ININCREASINGINNOVATION CAPABILITYANDCOMPETITIVEADVANTAGEWITHINPRIVATEUNIVERSITIESSECTORINTHESTATEOF KUWAIT
TypeoftheArticle	

## ReviewForm1.7

### PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correctthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthe manuscript.Itismandatorythat authorsshouldwritehis/her feedbackhere)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISIONcomments  1. Isthemanuscriptimportantforscientificcommunity? (Pleasewritefewsentenceson thismanuscript)  2. Is thetitleof thearticlesuitable? (If not pleasuggest analternativetitle)  3. Istheabstract ofthearticlecomprehensive?  4. Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?  5. Doyou thinkthemanuscript isscientificallycorrect?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you havesuggestion of additional references, please mention in thereviewform.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points. reviewers are free toprovideadditionalsuggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Answer:</b> 1) Yes,Acasestudy 2) Yes 3) Intheabstractitisnecessarytoindicatethestatisticaltechniquethatwill beused 4) Sections2.21to2.27neednot besubsections 5) Itisnecessarytoanalysebetter 6) Yes  <b>Suggestions:</b>  - Itisnecessarytodefinesomeparameters suchasMA,BA,B,beta,etc. - Couldyoubetterexplaintheanalysisitestestsused suchasTable5. Ho1Testing,Table6. Ho2Testingand Table7.PearsonCorrelation - Theconclusion couldindicatethemostrelevantstatistical resultsthatwererecalculated	3) statistical tests were added to the abstract 4) sub-sections were removed accordingly 5) abbreviation were defined Regarding analysis, all tables are based on a description of the content according to study aim. Also, more analysis was done in the discussion section based on the comparison and contrast with previous studies the most relevant statistical results were added in the conclusion the analysis is in accordance with the main aim and presented hypotheses of study. From my perspective there is no better way to analyze, and there would be extra tests to use as long as I have managed to answer the study questions and meet the acceptance of hypotheses
<b>Minor</b> REVISIONcomments  1.Islanguage/Englishqualityof thearticlesuitablefor scholarlycommunications?	1)Ithinkso	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	